ED ANGIGGO EL ODEG	
FRANCISCO FLORES et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
V.	
COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	
JOSEPH JOSHUA DAVIS et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
v.	
COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	
Defendants.	
JAMES K. GRIMES and CARLOS	
RAMOS,	
Plaintiffs,	
v.	
COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	
Defendants.	
STEPHEN MCBRIDE et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
v.	
COMCAST CORPORATION, and	
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,	
Defendants.	

1	LAWRENCE ELKINS et al.,
2	Plaintiffs,
3	v.
4	COMCAST CORPORATION, and
5	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
6	Defendants.
7	HERNAN PAEZ et al.,
8	Plaintiffs,
9	V.
10	COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
11	Defendants.
12	KRIS COOK et al.,
13	Plaintiffs,
14	v.
15	COMCAST CORPORATION, and
16	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
17	Defendants.
18	KEVIN HUFFMAN et al.,
19	Plaintiffs,
20	v.
21	COMCAST CORPORATION, and
22	COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
23	Defendants.
24	
	On July 12 2016 the mention to the
25	On July 13, 2016, the parties to the a

On July 13, 2016, the parties to the above-captioned related cases filed a stipulation to transfer the same cases to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The parties state that after meeting and conferring, they agreed to seek a voluntary transfer of the related cases for reasons of convenience of the parties and for judicial economy, as eight related

Case 4:16-cv-04182-JSW Document 29 Filed 07/21/16 Page 4 of 4

- 1			
	cases are currently pending before the Honorable Jeffrey White. The parties further state that		
	judicial economy and the convenience of the parties will be served: (a) by allowing a single cou		
	to decide the various legal issues that are identical or substantially similar in all cases; (b) that		
	court should be located in the Northern District because the cases presiding within the Northern		
	District are further advanced through the judicial process than those currently pending in the		
	Eastern District; (c) because the Northern District is equally convenient for all parties and		
	witnesses from all cases, and (d) all parties consent to the transfer. Moreover, the parties		
	represent that they intend to relate and seek further consolidation of the above-captioned cases		
	before the Honorable Jeffrey White once transferred and that at the last case management		
	conference before Judge White, they informed him of their intentions. ¹		
	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), "a district court may transfer any civil action to any other		
	district or division where it might have been brought or to any district or division to which all		
	parties have consented." According to the stipulation, the parties consent to such a transfer.		
	Finding good cause and in the interests of justice, the court grants the parties' stipulation.		
	Accordingly,		
	1. The parties' stipulation to transfer this action to the United States District Court for th		
	Northern District of California is granted;		
	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to transfer this action to the United States District		
	Court for the Northern District of California as soon as reasonably possible; and		
	3. All previously scheduled dates before this court are hereby vacated.		
	ATT NO CO CONDUNCTO		
	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 20, 2016		
	Dated: July 20, 2016 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
	CIVILD SITTLE DISTRICT JODGE		

¹ The undersigned has confirmed with Judge White that he is willing to accept the transfer of these actions.